Reg. No. G-2/RNP/GOA/32/2011-12

RNI No. GOAENG/2002/6410

Panaji, 1st January, 2015 (Pausa 11, 1936)

SERIES II No. 40

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There is one Extraordinary issue to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 39 dated 26-12-2014 namely, Extraordinary dated 26-12-2014 from pages 861 to 862 regarding Order & Notification from Department of Finance (Revenue & Control Division).

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Agriculture

Directorate of Agriculture

—

Order

No. 2/14/95/Agri(Part)/Vol. II/252

Government is pleased to order transfer of the following Agriculture Officers of this Directorate against the post indicated against their names with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name & Designation of the Officer	Place of present posting	Place of posting on transfer
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Anil D. Noronha, Agriculture Officer	North Goa District Agriculture Office, Tonca Caranzalem	As Zonal Agriculture Officer, Zonal Agriculture Office, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa against the post of Shri Dilip Paranjape being transferred.
2.	Shri Dilip Paranjape, Zonal Agriculture Officer	Zonal Agriculture Office, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa	As Agriculture Officer, North Goa District Agriculture Office, Tonca Caranzalem against the post vacated by Shri Anil D. Noronha.

The Officer at Sr. No. 1 shall move first. The Officer at Sr. No. 2 shall stand relieved upon the concerned reliever taking over the charge of the post.

This is issued with approval of Government vide U. O. No. 1207 dated 11-12-2014.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Orlando Rodrigues, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Agriculture).

Tonca-Caranzalem, 18th December, 2014.

———◆◆◆———

Department of Animal Husbandry

Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

—

Notification

No. 15-34/AH/NPBB/2014-15/5411

Government of Goa is pleased to constitute with immediate effect a State Level Committee for the State of Goa for implementing the National Project for Bovine Breeding headed by the Chief Secretary as Chairman and with the following members.

1.	Chief Secretary	—	Chairman
2.	Secretary (AH)	—	Member
3.	Director (AH)	—	Member
4.	Dy. Director (Plan)	—	Member
5.	Dy. Director (Gyn)	—	Member
6.	Managing Director, Goa Milk Union, Curti-Ponda	—	Member
7.	Director, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, Ela, Old-Goa	—	Member
8.	Representative from Government of India	—	Member

Further the Committee on the project "National Project for Bovine Breeding" shall function with the following objectives.

1. To arrange quality Artificial Insemination services at farmers doorstep.
2. To bring all breedable Female under organized breeding through Artificial Insemination/ /Natural Service using Germplasm of high genetic potential.
3. To conserve, develop and proliferate selected Indigenous Bovine Breeds of high socio-economic importance.
4. To provide quality breeding inputs in breeding tracts of important Indigenous breeds so as to prevent the breeds from deterioration and extinction.

This notification comes into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. *B. Braganza*, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (AH).

Panaji, 24th December, 2014.

———◆◆◆———

Goa Human Rights Commission
Panaji-Goa

Proceeding No. 138/2013

Inquiry Report

A serious question has arisen in this case as to whether the arrest and detention of the Complainant/Mr. Jose Octavio Rodrigues by Panaji Police was justified in the facts and the circumstances of this case.

2. On the basis of the complaint dated 29-06-2013 filed by Ms. Jessica M. Rodrigues before the Panaji Police Station, the Panaji Police registered an offence vide Cr. No. 262/2013 under Section 379 of Indian Penal Code against the Complainant. The said complaint is reproduced below for the sake of convenience.

From,

Jessica M. Rodrigues, D/o Viriato da
Costa Rodrigues,
H. No. 54/11, Near Hotel Palacio de Goa,
St. Inez, Panaji-Goa 403 001 India.
Dated: 29th June, 2013.

To,

The Police Station,
Panaji-Goa.

Sub.: THEFT OF ZINC SHEET IN OUR PREMISES

Dear Sir,

On the evening of 29th evening 7.15 to 7.30 p.m. we were sitting in the dinning room, when we heard a noise of zinc steel being pulled, when reached on the spot, our next door, Mr. Octavio Rodrigues resident of H. N. 54/11, Near Hotel Palacio de Goa, Panaji-Goa was seen pulling the zinc sheet towards their portion of the house. He has thrown our clothes lying on zinc sheet down. When I called attention, he ran inside and closed the doors put off the lights disappeared.

There was no sufficient time to nab him at that moment. Kindly assist in the matter, and make him replace the same as from morning repair works are going on the roof.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

Sd/-

(Jessica M. Rodrigues)

The xerox copy of the complaint is on record. This Commission has compared the xerox copy with the original complaint. A bare perusal of the said complaint indicates that there are additions and corrections to the said original complaint. The said additions and corrections have not been authenticated by the author of the complaint. In the subject of the complaint, the word “robbery” has been replaced by word “theft”. The word “stolen” has been scored off. In the body of the complaint there are additions on the first line and the fourth line. It is not known as to who had made those additions and corrections.

3. The Complainant/Shri Jose Octavio Rodrigues approached this Commission alleging among other things that the Panaji Police arrested him on 03-07-2013 on the basis of false complaint from Ms. Jessica Rodrigues without any reason and without conducting any inquiry. Initially this Commission issued notices to 1) Shri Paresh Faldessai, Police Constable/I.O. Panaji Police Station, Panaji-Goa 2) The Police Inspector, Panaji Police Station, Panaji--Goa, 3) The Superintendent of Police, Porvorim Police Station, Porvorim-Goa, and 4) The State of Goa through the Chief Secretary, Porvorim--Goa. The Respondents No. 1 to 4 appeared on 05-09-2013 through Government Advocate Shri V. Sardessai. Reply was filed by Respondent No. 1 on

that day and a Memo was filed on behalf of Respondent No. 2 to 4 stating that they were adopting the reply of Respondent No. 1. In the reply the Respondent No.1 it was stated, inter alia, that ".... Upon instructions of Police Inspector, Panaji registered the offence against the Complainant on 29-06-2013 at 21.00 hrs. and proceeded to the scene of offence on 30-06-2013 in the morning and conducted scene of offence Panchanama." Subsequently, by order dated 21-10-2013, Shri S. S. Naik, Police Sub-Inspector, Panaji Police Station, Panaji-Goa was added as Respondent No. 5 and accordingly notice was issued to him. In pursuance to the notice, the Respondent No. 5 filed separate reply on 14-11-2013.

4. The Complainant/Shri Jose Octavio Rodrigues has examined himself as CW1. The Respondent No. 1 to 4 have examined the Respondent No. 1 as RW1 and have also examined Shri Chandan Khandolkar as RW3. The Respondent No. 5 has examined himself as RW2.

5. We have gone through the records of the case. We have also heard the learned Adv. G. G. Bakhale for the Complainant and the learned Govt. Advocate Shri Sardessai on behalf of Respondent No. 1 to 4 and learned Adv. Smt. Harsha Naik on behalf of Respondent No.5. Shri Bakhale has also filed notes of Written Arguments which are on record. Shri Bakhale contended that there is conspiracy between Mrs. Jessica M. Rodrigues and the Panaji Police to arrest the Complainant on false complaint to pressurize the Complainant to sell his property. He further contended that the Complainant was arrested arbitrarily thereby violating the human rights of the Complainant. The learned Govt. Advocate Shri V. Sardessai submitted that the Respondent No.1 had acted at the instruction of the Respondents and that the Respondent No. 1 should not be held solely responsible. The Learned Adv. Mrs. Harsha Naik submitted that the Respondent No.5 should not be held responsible for the alleged illegal arrest.

6. In order to determine as to whether there were compelling circumstances to the police to arrest and detain the Complainant/Shri Jose Octavio Rodrigues, it would be convenient to look into the complaint filed by Mrs. Jessica M. Rodrigues. The subject of the complaint has been described as "theft of zinc sheet in our premises". In the body of the entire complaint there is no mention about the theft of zinc sheet or any object belonging to the said Mrs. Jessica M. Rodrigues. In the complaint it is alleged that "Mr. Octavio Rodrigues, resident of H. N. 54/11, Near Hotel Palacio de Goa, Panaji-Goa was seen pulling zinc sheet towards their portion of the house". The Panaji Police had registered the offence u/s 379 of IPC against the Complainant/Shri Jose Octavio, Rodrigues on 29-06-2013 and arrested him on 03-07-2013.

7. We are not inclined to express any opinion as to whether on the basis of the allegations made in the complaint, any cognizable offence was made out. However, it is necessary to examine whether in the facts and the circumstances of this case, the arrest and detention of the Complainant/Mr. Jose Octavio Rodrigues were justified. There can be no two opinions that it is within the power of Police Officer to arrest any person for having committed a cognizable offence. But then, it is also settled law that it is not necessary to arrest a person in all cases merely because a cognizable offence is alleged to have been committed.

8. In the case of Joginder Kumar v/s State reported in (1994) 4 Supreme Court Cases 260, the Apex Court considered the dynamics of misuse of police power of arrest and opined as follows:

"No arrest can be made because it is lawful for the Police Officer to do so. The existence of the power of arrest is one thing. The justification for the exercise of it is quiet another No arrest should be made without a reasonable satisfaction reached after some investigation about the genuineness and bonafides of a complaint and a reasonable belief both as to person's complicity and even so as to the need to effect arrest. Denying a person his liberty is a serious matter".

9. In this particular case before us the offence alleged against the Complainant is trivial in nature. It is not the case of the police that the Complainant was a professional thief likely to abscond or evade process of law if not arrested. It may be noted that the Complainant is a senior citizen and is 73 years old. Admittedly, the Complainant and the said Jessica Rodrigues are the co-owners of the said house and there is Inventory Proceeding pending before the Senior Division, Panaji Goa (Inventory Proceeding No.2/1998/A) for partition of the house. In the said Inventory Proceeding, the Complainant has been appointed as Cabeca-de-Casal (Head of the Family): In these set of circumstances, it was incumbent on the part of the police to make some inquiry to ascertain the genuineness and bonafides of the complaint before proceeding to arrest the Complainant. The undue haste and the manner in which the Complainant has been arrested by the Police is not free from doubt and suspicion.

10. The records also indicate that the police have acted in a malafide intention in placing the Complainant under arrest. The Complainant in his Affidavit-in-Evidence has stated that on 03-07-2013 at about 10.00 a.m. the Respondent No.1 came to his house along with 3 or 4 constables in a jeep and the Respondent No.1 called him to the Police Station. He has further stated that he asked for a Call Letter and the Respondent wrote it there in front of him and signed the same without any authority or Outward number and stamp of the office, etc. The said letter dated 03-07-2013 has been produced by the Complainant. It is pertinent to note that the Respondent No.1 had issued the said Call Letter without express authority from the Officer-in-Charge of the Police Station thereby exercising powers not vested in him. A perusal of the said letter would show that the Complainant was requested to remain present at the Police Station on 03-07-2013 at 11 hrs. in connection with Criminal Case No. 262/2013 u/s 379 of IPC for inquiry. The Complainant has further stated that in his Affidavit-in-Evidence that he informed the Respondent No.1 that he will come with his Advocate but the Respondent No.1 was rude and said that he will lift him and put him in the jeep and take him to the Police Station. He has further stated that he was forcibly taken to Police Station and asked him to sign Arrest Intimation Letter which states that he was arrested at 10.30 a.m. The said letter dated 03-07-2013 shows that the Complainant was arrested at 10.30 a.m. The conduct of the Respondent No.1 in placing the Complainant under arrest at 10.30 a.m. when he was called asked to remain present at the Police Station at 11.00 a.m. for inquiry is highly objectionable and smacks of malafide. In the facts and the circumstances of this case, the Respondent No.1 was duty bound to conduct some inquiry to ascertain the genuineness of the complaint which the Respondent No.1 has failed to do for reasons best known to him.

11. The Complainant (CW) in his Additional Affidavit-in-Evidence has stated that Shri S. S. Naik, PSI was present at the Police Station on the day when he was arrested by Respondent No.1. He has also stated that the PSI Shri S. S. Naik is also responsible for all illegal and arbitrary acts in the Police Station. The Complainant was cross--examined by the Respondents. In his cross--examination by the Respondent No.5 it was suggested to him that Shri S. S. Naik is in no way responsible for what had happened on 03-07-2013 and that he has been falsely involved in this case which suggestion has been denied. It was also suggested to the Complainant by Respondent No.5 that Shri S. S. Naik was not at all aware about his arrest which suggestion also has been denied by the Complainant. The Respondent No.1 (RW1) in his reply has stated that he had acted upon the instructions of Police Inspector, Panaji and registered the offence against the Complainant. However, in variance to the pleadings, the Respondent No. 1 (RW1) in his Affidavit-in-Evidence has stated that upon instructions of Police Sub-Inspector Shri S. S. Naik he registered the offence against the Complainant on 29-06-2013 at 21.00 hrs. He has also stated that Ms. Jessica Rodrigues came at the Police Station on 29-06-2013 at 20.00 hrs. and met him; that he advised her to meet Duty Officer Shri S. S. Naik. He has also stated that the Duty Officer Shri S. S. Naik went with Ms. Jessica Rodrigues at the scene of offence at the residence. He has also stated that after returning from the scene of offence, the Duty Officer Shri S. S. Naik directed him to register an offence v/s 379 of IPC and accordingly he registered the offence against Shri Jose Octavo Rodrigues.

12. The Respondent No.5/Shri S. S. Naik in his Affidavit-in-Evidence has stated that he is not aware of any arrest by any person made by Shri Paresh Faldessai. He has also stated that he does not have any connection as regards Cr. No.262/ /2013. In his cross-examination by the Complainant he has stated that Ms. Jessica Rodrigues came to the Police Station on 29-06-2013 at about 8.00 p.m. to file complaint and approached him with the complaint. He admits that he had read the complaint and took said Mrs. Jessica Rodrigues before Police Inspector Shri Rajan Prabhudessai who also read the complaint and directed him to visit the site. He has further stated in his cross-examination that he along with the staff members visited the site. He has also stated in his cross-examination that he visited the site and came back to the Police Station and reported the matter to the Police Inspector. He has further stated that Police Inspector Prabhudessai directed I.O. Shri Paresh Faldessai to register the offence u/s 379 of IPC.

13. The witness Shri Chandan Khandolkar (RW3) has stated that on 30-06-2013 he was present in the house of Mrs. Jessica Rodrigues when Sub-Inspector Shri Sakharam Naik came along with Head Constable, Shri Paresh Faldessai to conduct scene of offence Panchanama. According to this witness, PSI Sakharam Naik was giving instructions to the Head Constable Shri Paresh Faldessai in regards as to how to conduct Panchanama and draw a sketch. He has stated that PSI Shri Sakharam Naik was present at the scene of offence right from

the beginning till all the formalities were completed. Thus it can be seen that the Respondent No.1 and 5 have not come out with the truth and are trying to blame each other in the matter of investigation of the present crime.

14. For the purpose of the present proceeding, it is not necessary for us to find out the role of the three Police Officers namely, the Respondents No.1, 2 and 5. We have focused out attention primarily on the question of justifiability of the arrest and detention.

15. On the basis of the material on record, we are satisfied that the arrest and detention of the Complainant/Shri Jose Octavio Rodrigues is wholly unjustified. The conduct of Respondent No.1, 2 and 5 smacks of malafide. It appears that the Complainant was arrested with ulterior motives. The records indicate that the fundamental rights and human rights of the Complainant have been violated.

16. In the case of D. K. Basu v/s State of Bengal reported in AIR 1997 Supreme Court 610, the Apex Court has observed as follows:

"Fundamental rights occupy a place of pride in the Indian Constitution. Article-21 provides "No person shall be deprived of his life or personal liberty except according to procedure established by law" Personal liberty, thus, is a secrete and cherished right under the Constitution. The expression "life or personal liberty" has been held to include the right to live with human dignity and thus it would also include within itself a guarantee against torture and assault by the State or its functionaries. Article-22 guarantees protection against the arrest and detention in certain cases and declares that no person who is arrested shall be detained in custody without being informed of the grounds of such arrest and shall not be denied right to consult and defend himself by legal Practitioner of his choice".....

17. Section-18 of the said Act deals with steps to be taken during and after hearing. The relevant portion of Section-18 is reproduced below:

Section 18. Steps during and after inquiry.- The Commission may take any of the following steps during or upon the completion of an inquiry held under this Act, namely:-

(a) where the inquiry discloses the commission of violation of human rights or negligence in the prevention of violation of human rights or abetment thereof by a public servant, it may recommend to the concerned Government or Authority-

(i) to make payment of compensation or damages to the Complainant or to the victim or the members of his family as the Commission may consider necessary.

18. In the case of D. K. Basu v/s State of Bengal (Supra), it has been observed as follows:

"it is well accepted proposition in most of the jurisdiction, that monetary or pecuniary compensation is an appropriate and indeed an effective and sometimes perhaps the only suitable remedy for redressal of the established infringement of the fundamental right to life of a citizen by a public servant and the State is vicariously liable for their acts".

19. The records of this case indicate in clear terms that the Complainant had to suffer mental torture as well as physical discomfort without any fault on his part on account of high-handedness of the police who have apparently misused their powers by curtailing the liberty of the Complainant without any justification. Besides, he had to incur unnecessary expenditure for obtaining bail as his bail was opposed tooth and nail. We are therefore satisfied that this is a fit case to award appropriate monetary compensation to the Complainant by the State Government.

19. We therefore recommend that the State of Goa through its Chief Secretary shall pay an amount of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only) to the Complainant as compensation within a period of 30 days. It shall be open to the State Government to recover the amount of compensation from the erring officials after fixing responsibility.

Date: 18-06-2014.

Place: Panaji-Goa.

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
Justice P. K. Misra	A. D. Salkar	J. A. Keny
Chairperson	Member	Member
Goa Human Rights Commission	Goa Human Rights Commission	Goa Human Rights Commission

BEFORE THE GOA HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, PANAJI-GOA

Proceeding No. 138/2013

Sub.:- Action Taken Report in Proceeding No. 138/2013.

REPLY ON BEHALF OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY, STATE OF GOA

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR

In view of the inquiry report dated 18-06-2014 in the above proceeding and recommendations therein, I am directed to submit that vide letter No. DSP(N)/Accts/3081/2014 dated 28-11-2014, Shri Jose Octavio Rodrigues (Complainant) R/o H. No. 524, Near Palacio De Goa Hotel, St. Inez, Panaji-Goa has been requested to collect the Banker cheque for Rs. 30,000/- from the SP (North), Porvorim during office hours. (copy placed for favour of information duly served on him).

Place: Porvorim-Goa.

Date: 05-12-2014.

(Neetal P. Amonkar)
Under Secretary (Home)

———◆◆◆———

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 4/1/2003-II/PHD/P.F.II/1975

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/ /II/11/30(4)/14/805 dated 26-11-2014, Government is pleased to promote Dr. Nilam Madhale, Lecturer to the post of Assistant Professor in Department of Anatomy in Goa Medical College, Bambolim on regular basis in the Pay Band-3, Rs. 15,600- -39,100 + Grade Pay Rs. 6,600/- and other allowances to be fixed as per rules with immediate effect.

The promotion is made against the vacancy occurred due to promotion of Dr. Uday Kudalkar, Assistant Professor to the post of Associate Professor in Anatomy vide Order No. 4/1/2003-II/PHD/P.F.II dated 02-12-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sangeeta M. Porob, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 16th December, 2014.

———

Order

No. 38/261/2014-I/PHD/7209

Read: 1. Government Order No. 38/261/2014-I/ /PHD dated 21-10-2014.

2. Government Order No. 38/261/2014-I/ /PHD dated 25-11-2014.

3. Government Order No. 38/261/2014-I/ /PHD dated 15-12-2014.

In partial modification to the above referred orders, the following MBBS students appointed as Medical Officers on contract basis on bond under Directorate of Health Services is posted at respective Hospitals/Centres under Directorate of Health Services with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Names of the Medical Officers posted at respective Hospitals/Centres
I	**North Goa District Hospital, Mapusa**
1.	Dr. Ambre Sachin Arun
III	**CHC, Pernem**
1.	Dr. Gaonkar Pooja D.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Addl. Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 23rd December, 2014.

———

Order

No. 38/261/2014-I/PHD/7055

Read: 1. Government Order No. 38/261/2014-I/ /PHD dated 21-10-2014.

2. Government Order No. 38/261/2014-I/ /PHD dated 25-11-2014.

3. Government Order No. 38/261/2014-I/ /PHD dated 15-12-2014.

In partial modification to the above referred orders, the following MBBS students appointed as Medical Officers on contract basis on bond under Directorate of Health Services is posted at respective Hospitals/Centres under Directorate of Health Services with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of the Medical Officers posted at respective Hospitals/Centres
1	2
I	**Hospicio Hospital, Margao**
1.	Dr. Sawant Dessai Uday S.
2.	Dr. Bandodkar Nivisha G.
II	**North Goa District Hospital, Mapusa**
1.	Dr. Bhagat Prajyoti P.
2.	Dr. Salgaonkar Rupam R.
3.	Dr. Parsekar Hemant H.
4.	Dr. Shetgaunkar Radhika R.

1	2
III	**Cottage Hospital, Chicalim**
1.	Dr. Naik Nisha A.
2.	Dr. Nisha Singh
IV	**Sub-District Hospital, Ponda**
1.	Dr. Morajker Gayatri M.
V	**PHC, Balli**
1.	Dr. Dias Eufemia Sellia

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Addl. Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 23rd December, 2014.

———

Order

No. 38/261/2014-I/PHD/7099

Government is pleased to appoint the following Medical Officers on contract basis and posted at the respective Hospitals/Centres under Directorate of Health Services with immediate effect for a period of one year on monthly emoluments of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only).

Sr. No.	Name of the Medical Officers posted at respective Hospitals/Centres
I	**Hospicio Hospital, Margao**
1.	Dr. Poonam Naik
II	**North Goa District Hospital, Mapusa**
1.	Dr. Ashwin Ulhas Kerkar
III	**Sub-District Hospital, Ponda**
1.	Dr. Prajay Naik
IV	**PHC, Sankhalim**
1.	Dr. Priteeja Parab
V	**PHC, Chinchinim**
1.	Dr. Coelho Steffi Lourdes

The appointment of above officers shall be subject to the terms and conditions contained in the Agreement to be executed by them with the Government.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardessai, Addl. Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 17th December, 2014.

Certificate

No. 11/3/89-IV/PHD/4/1958

Read: Government Order No. 11/3/89-IV/PHD/ /4 dated 25-7-2014.

Certified that the character and antecedents of Dr. Paul Chalakkal, Lecturer in Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry in Goa Dental College and Hospital, Bambolim-Goa mentioned in the above referred order have been verified by the District Magistrate, South Goa District, Margao-Goa and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government.

Sangeeta M. Porob, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 15th December, 2014.

———

Addendum

No. 5/31/2010-II/PHD/1976

Read: (1) Order No. 5/31/2010-II/PHD dated 22-01-2014.
(2) Addendum No. 5/31/2010-II/PHD dated 13-11-2014.

In the Government order read at preamble, following officers are appointed as Public Information Officer and Assistant Public Information Officer for the section indicated against each of them:-

Designation of the officer appointed as FAA	Designation of the officer appointed as PIO	Designation of the officer appointed as APIO	Department/ /Section
1	2	3	4
Dean	Director (Admn.)	Dy. Director (Admn.)	Matters related to Workshop Central Stores, Stores, Kitchen Section, Telephone Operator and MRD Staff.
	Director (Admn.)	Matron	Matron's office.
	Medical Supdt.	Sub-Registrar	Birth and Death Section.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sangeeta M. Porob, Under Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 17th December, 2014

Department of Revenue

—

Corrigendum

No. 22/34/2013-RD

Read: Notification No. 22/34/2013-RD dated 17-10-2014 regarding Land Acquisition for setting up an Industrial Estate at Latambarcem Village of Bicholim Taluka.

In the above referred Notification, the date of Official Gazette Series II No. 36 dated 04-12-2013 shall be read as Official Gazette Series II No. 36 dated 05-12-2013 instead of 04-12-2013.

The rest of the contents of above referred Notification shall remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I) (Link).

Porvorim, 24th December, 2014.

Corrigendum

No. 22/11/2013-RD

Read: Notification No. 22/11/2013-RD dated 31-12-2013 published in the Official Gazette, Series II No. 39 pages 924, 925 and 926 dated 31-12-2013 regarding Land Acquisition for expansion of Verna Industrial Estate–Phase-V at Cortalim Village of Mormugao Taluka.

In the Schedule appended to the above referred Notification, the name (O-6) Santan D'Sa and (O-11) Remedin D'Sa, may be read as (O-6) Santan Gama and (O-11) Remedin Gama respectively in respect of Survey No. 138/1 of Village Cortalim.

The rest of the contents of the above referred Notification shall remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 29th December, 2014.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE–Rs. 8.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA–304/360–1/2015.